arXiv:1409.0603v1 [math.RT] 2 Sep 2014

GENERALIZED ITERATED WREATH PRODUCTS OF CYCLIC GROUPS AND ROOTED TREES CORRESPONDENCE

MEE SEONG IM AND ANGELA WU

Abstract. Consider the generalized iterated wreath product $\mathbb{Z}_{r_1} \wr \mathbb{Z}_{r_2} \wr \ldots \wr \mathbb{Z}_{r_k}$. The number of irreducible representations of the generalized iterated wreath product satisfies a certain recursion, which coincides with the number of certain rooted trees. We give the dimension of irreducible representations of the generalized iterated wreath product and literature's fastest FFT upper bound estimate to date.

1. Introduction

One may think of a cyclic group as the rotational symmetries of a regular polygon and of a generalized wreath product $\mathbb{Z}_{r_1} \wr \mathbb{Z}_{r_2} \wr \ldots \wr \mathbb{Z}_{r_k}$ as the automorphisms of a corresponding complete rooted tree generated by cyclic shifts of the children of each node. With applications to functions on rooted trees, pixel blurring, and nonrigid molecules in molecular spectroscopy in mind, we generalize [OOR04].We show that the equivalence classes of irreducible representations of the iterated wreath products $W(\vec{r}|_k)$ are indexed by classes of labels on the vertices of the complete $\vec{r}|_k$-ary trees of height k and consider the running time of fast Fourier transforms for iterated wreath products.

1.1. **Background and Notation.** Throughout this paper, we will fix $\vec{r} = (r_1, r_2, r_3, \ldots) \in \mathbb{N}^\omega$, a positive integral vector. We denote by $\vec{r}|_k := (r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k)$ the k-length vector found by truncating $\vec{r}$.

Definition 1.1. *We define* $W(\vec{r}|_k)$ the (generalized) k-th $\vec{r}$-cyclic wreath product *recursively by:*

$$W(\vec{r}|_0) = \{0\} \text{ and } W(\vec{r}|_k) = W(\vec{r}|_{k-1}) \wr \mathbb{Z}_{r_k}.$$

Note that $W(\vec{r}|_1) = \mathbb{Z}_{r_1}$, $W(\vec{r}|_2) = \mathbb{Z}_{r_1} \wr \mathbb{Z}_{r_2}$, and $W(\vec{r}|_k) = \mathbb{Z}_{r_1} \wr \ldots \wr \mathbb{Z}_{r_k}$. Throughout this paper, we will be considering the chain of groups given in Definition 1.1.

For a group G, we denote by $\widehat{G}$ the set of irreducible representations of G. We say that $\mathcal{R}$ is a *traversal* for G if $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_G \subset \widehat{G}$ contains one irreducible for each isomorphism class in $\widehat{G}$. As a basic consequence of representation theory, we find $\sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}} \dim(\rho)^2 = |G|$.

We denote by $[n] := \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ the set of integers from 1 to n. We denote by $[n]^\ell := \{x_1 x_2 \ldots x_\ell : x_i \in [n]\}$ the set of length ℓ words with letters in $[n]$.

2. Irreducible Representations of Iterated Wreath Products

Given a subgroup $H \leq G$ and a representation $\sigma \in \widehat{H}$, we use $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G \sigma$ to denote the induced representation of G from σ with dimension $[G : H] \cdot \dim \sigma$. For $x = x_1 \ldots x_{r_k} \in [h]^{r_k}$, define $d_x := \min\{i \in \mathbb{N} | x^i = x\}$, where $x^i = (x_1 \ldots x_{r_k})^i := x_{i+1} \ldots x_{r_k} x_1 \ldots x_i$. Note that $d_x | r_k$ for any $x \in [h]^{r_k}$. Write x^G for the orbit of x under G.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that* $\mathcal{R} = \{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_h\}$ *is a traversal for* $W(\vec{r}|_{k-1})$. *Let* $J \subseteq [h]^{r_k}$ *denote a set of orbit representatives of* $[h]^{r_k}$ *under the action by* $\mathbb{Z}_{r_k}$ *so that* $[h]^{r_k} = \bigsqcup_{x \in J} x^{\mathbb{Z}_{r_k}}$. *Then the set below gives a traversal for* $W(\vec{r}|_k)$*:*

$$\mathcal{R}_{W(\vec{r}|_k)} = \left\{ \mathrm{Ind}_{W(\vec{r}|_{k-1}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{d_x}}^{W(\vec{r}|_k)} (\rho_{x_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho_{x_{r_k}} \otimes \tau) \middle| x \in J, \tau \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{d_x} \right\}. \quad (1)$$

Date: September 3, 2014.

Proof. First we note that $\mathcal{R}^{r_k} = \{\rho_{x_1} \otimes \rho_{x_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho_{x_{r_k}} : x \in [h]^{r_k}\}$ is a traversal for $W(\vec{r}|_{k-1})^{r_k}$. Consider the action of $\mathbb{Z}_{r_k}$ on $\mathcal{R}^{r_k}$ by its action on the indices, indexed by $[h]^{r_k}$. This is isomorphic to the action of $W(\vec{r}|_k) = W(\vec{r}|_{k-1})^{r_k} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{r_k}$ on $\widehat{W}(\vec{r}|_{k-1})^{r_k}$ by conjugation.

Fix some $\sigma_x := \rho_{x_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho_{x_{r_k}} \in \mathcal{R}^{r_k}$ (which corresponds to the word $x = x_1 \cdots x_{r_k} \in [h]^{r_k}$). The stabilizer of x under the cyclic action of $\mathbb{Z}_{r_k}$ is a subgroup corresponding to $\mathbb{Z}_{d_x} \cong (\frac{r_k}{d_x}\mathbb{Z})/(r_k\mathbb{Z})$ for some $d_x | r_k$. Notice that $W(\vec{r}|_{k-1})^{r_k} \trianglelefteq W(\vec{r}|_k)$. In the language of Clifford theory, the inertia group for σ_x is given by

$$I = W(\vec{r}|_{k-1})^{r_k} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{d_x}. \tag{2}$$

Notice that $W(\vec{r}|_{k-1})^{r_k} \leq I \leq W(\vec{r}|_k)$. For $H \leq G$ and $\tau \in \widehat{H}$, denote

$$\widehat{G}(\tau) = \left\{\theta \in \widehat{G} : \tau \leq \mathrm{Res}^G_H \, \theta\right\}. \tag{3}$$

Applying Clifford theory we find that $\widehat{I}(\sigma) = \{\sigma \otimes \tau : \tau \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_d}\}$. More importantly,

$$\widehat{W}(\vec{r}|_k)(\sigma) = \left\{\mathrm{Ind}^{W(\vec{r}|_k)}_{W(\vec{r}|_{k-1})^{r_k} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{d_\sigma}} \sigma \otimes \tau : \tau \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_d}\right\} \tag{4}$$

In addition, $\widehat{W}(\vec{r}|_k) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \widehat{W}(\vec{r}|_{k-1})^{r_k}} \widehat{W}(\vec{r}|_k)(\sigma)$. Also, if $\theta \in \widehat{W}(\vec{r}|_k)(\sigma)$ and $\theta \in \widehat{W}(\vec{r}|_k)(\sigma')$ and $I^G_\sigma = I^G_{\sigma'}$, then there exists $g \in W(\vec{r}|_k)$ such that $\sigma = \sigma'^g$. The result follows. □

Corollary 2.2. *Fix a particular $\sigma = \rho_{x_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho_{x_{r_k}} \in \widehat{W}(\vec{r}|_{k-1})^{r_k}$ and $\tau \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_{d_x}}$. Let I be the inertia group of σ. By Theorem 2.1 and application of Clifford theory, we have*

$$\mathrm{Res}^{W(\vec{r}|_k)}_{W(\vec{r}|_{k-1})^{r_k}} \left(\mathrm{Ind}^{W(\vec{r}|_k)}_{W(\vec{r}|_{k-1})^{r_k} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{d_x}} \sigma \otimes \tau\right) = \sigma \oplus \sigma^1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \sigma^{r/d_x}. \tag{5}$$

2.1. **Number of Irreducible Representations.** Following the exact same argument as given in [OOR04], we find the following recursion for the number of irreducible representations for $W(\vec{r}|_k)$.

Theorem 2.3. *The number $M(\vec{r}|_k)$ of irreducible representations of $W(\vec{r}|_k)$ satisfies the recursion*

$$M(\vec{r}|_k) = \frac{1}{r_k} \sum_{d | r_k} f(d) d^2 = \frac{1}{r_k} \sum_{d|c|r_k} \mu(c/d) M\left(\vec{r}|_{k-1}\right)^{r_k/c} d^2, \tag{6}$$

where $M(\vec{r}|_1) = r_1$ and $\mu(n)$ is the Euler number for a natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

3. Bijection betweeen the Branching Diagram for Generalized Iterated Wreath Products and Rooted Trees

We define $\vec{r}$-trees, a generalization of the "r-trees" of [OOR04]. We will find a combinatorial structure describing the branching diagrams for the iterated wreath products of cyclic groups. We say a node v is in the *j-th layer* of a rooted tree if it is at distance j from the root.

Definition 3.1. *We define the complete $\vec{r}$-tree $T(\vec{r}|_k)$ of height k, or $\vec{r}|_k$-tree, recursively as follows. Let $T(r_1)$ be the 1-layer tree consisting of a root node only. Let $T(\vec{r}|_2)$ consist of a root with r_2 children. Let $T(\vec{r}|_k)$ consist of a root node with r_k children, with each the root of a copy of the $k-1$-layer tree $T(\vec{r}|_{k-1})$, which yields a tree with k layers of nodes.*

Notice that $T(\vec{r}|_k)$ has $\prod_{i=2}^{k} r_i$ leaves. The tree $T(\vec{r}|_k)$ has $\prod_{i=k-j+1}^{k} r_i$ nodes in the j-th layer. The subtree T_v of T is the tree rooted at v consisting of all the children and descendants of v. We call T_v a maximal subtree of T if v is a child of the root, or equivalently if v is in the first layer.

Definition 3.2. *An $\vec{r}|_k$-label is a function $\phi : V_{T(\vec{v}|_k)} \to \mathbb{N}$ on the vertices of $T(\vec{v}|_k)$. A $\vec{r}|_k$-label is* compatible *if it satisfies the following:*

- *for $k = 1$: ϕ(root node) $\in [r_1]$, and*
- *for $k > 1$: 1. given any child of the root v, ϕ_v is a compatible $\vec{r}|_{k-1}$-label, and 2. ϕ(root node) $\in [d]$, where $\mathbb{Z}_d$ is the stabilizer of the action of $\mathbb{Z}_{r_k}$ on equivalence classes of $\{(\phi_v) : v$ is a child of the root$\}$,*

where ϕ_v denotes the restriction of ϕ to T_v.

We say that two compatible labels ϕ and ψ of $T(\vec{r}|_k)$ are *equivalent*, or $\phi \sim \psi$, if they are in the same orbit under the action of $W(\vec{r}|_k)$, or equivalently, if $\psi^{W(\vec{r}|_k)} = \phi^{W(\vec{r}|_k)}$, where $\psi^g(v) := \psi(v^g)$.

Proposition 3.3. *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between equivalence classes of irreducible representations of $W(\vec{r}|_k)$ and orbits of compatible $\vec{r}|_k$-labels.*

Proof. We inductively define a map $F : \hat{W}(\vec{r}|_k) \to \{\vec{r}|_k\text{-labels}\}$ that gives a bijection between equivalence classes and orbits of labels.

We denote by z_1 a fixed generator of $\mathbb{Z}_{r_1}$ and w_1 a fixed r_1-th root of unity. For $i = 1, \ldots, r_1$, let $\tau_i^{(1)}$ denote the irreducible representation of $\mathbb{Z}_{r_1}$ such that $\tau(z_1) = (w_1)^j$.

Recall that $T(\vec{r}|_1)$ consists of only a root node, and all $\vec{r}|_1$-labelsl $\phi : V_{T(\vec{v}|_1)} \to [r_1]$ are determined exactly by their value on the root node. Thus, $\hat{W}(\vec{r}|_1) = \{\tau_j^{(1)} : j \in [r_1]\}$ is clearly in bijection with $\vec{r}|_1$-labels. To be precise, $F : \widehat{W}(\vec{r}|_1) = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_{r_1}} \to \{\vec{r}|_1\text{-labels}\}$ is defined by $F(\tau_j^{(1)})(\text{root}) = j$ for all $j \in [r_1]$.

Suppose for induction that $F : \hat{W}(\vec{r}|_{k-1}) \to \vec{r}|_{k-1}$-labels gives a bijection of the form desired. We define $F : \widehat{W}(\vec{r}|_k) \to \{\vec{r}|_k\text{-labels}\}$ as follows.

Let $\{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_h\}$ be an enumeration for $\mathcal{R}_{W(\vec{r}|_{k-1})}$, a traversal for $W(\vec{r}|_{k-1})$. It suffices to define F on a traversal for $\widehat{W}(\vec{r}|_k)$, such as $\mathcal{R}_{W(\vec{r}|_k)}$ defined above. Then, let $\rho_{x_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho_{x_{r_k}} \otimes \tau$ be an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{R}_{W(\vec{r}|_k)}$. Let $\phi := F\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{W(\vec{r}|_{k-1}) \wr \mathbb{Z}_{d_x}}^{W(\vec{r}|_k)} \rho_{x_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho_{x_{r_k}} \otimes \tau\right) : V_{T(\vec{r}|_k)} \to \mathbb{N}$ be defined as follows:

Let $U = \{u_1, \ldots, u_{r_k}\}$ be the set of r_k children of the root node. For any $u \in U$ let ϕ_u denote the $\vec{r}|_{k-1}$ found by restricting ϕ to the maximal subtree T_u. Let $d = d_x$ be as defined above. Let ζ be a fixed generator of $\mathbb{Z}_d$ and ω a fixed d-th root of unity. For $i = 1, \ldots, d$, let π_i denote the irreducible representation of $\mathbb{Z}_d$ such that $\pi(\zeta) = \omega^i$.

- For any non-root node of $T(\vec{r}|_k)$ we let the value of ϕ be defined to satisfy $\phi_{u_i} := F(\rho_{x_i})$.
- For the root node: Notice by definition of $\mathcal{R}_{W(\vec{r}|_k)}$, $\tau \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_{d_x}}$. But, d_x is exactly the integer such that $\mathbb{Z}_{d_x}$ is the stabilizer of $\mathbb{Z}_{r_k}$ on the equivalence classes of $\{\phi_u : u \in U\}$, so $\tau \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_d}$, so $\tau = \pi_j$ for some $j \in [d_x]$. Let $\phi(\text{root}) := j$.

It follows from induction that F is a bijection from the equivalence classes of $\widehat{W}(\vec{r}|_k)$ to the orbits of $\vec{r}|_k$ labels.

□

Corollary 3.4. *The number $h_k(\vec{r}|_k)$ of $\vec{r}|_k$-trees of height k is given by the recursion*

$$h_k(\vec{r}|_k) = \frac{1}{r_k} \sum_{d|c|r_k} \mu(c/d) h_{k-1}(\vec{r}|_{k-1})^{r_k/c} d^2.$$

where $\mu(n)$ is the Euler number of a natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

3.1. **Degrees of Irreducible Representations.** Following the discussion in [OOR04], we define for any $\vec{r}|_k$-label ϕ the companion tree C_ϕ.

Definition 3.5. *Fix an $\vec{r}|_k$-label ϕ. Define the* companion label *C_ϕ to be the $\vec{r}|_k$-label $C : V_{T(\vec{r}|_k)} \to \mathbb{N}$ defined as follows an arbitrary vertex v on the ℓ-th layer of $T(\vec{r}|_k)$:*

$$C(v) = \left| \left\{ \phi_u^{W(\vec{r}|_{k-\ell-1})} \middle| u \text{ is a child of } v \right\} \right|.$$

Recall that x^G denotes the orbit of x under the action of G, so $C(v)$ is the number of orbits occupied by the $\vec{r}|_{k-\ell-1}$-labels of the $r_{k-\ell-1}$ maximal $\vec{r}|_{k-\ell-1}$-subtrees of T_v, or the number of inequivalent sublabels on maximal subtrees of T_v given by ϕ.

Proposition 3.6. *Let ρ be an irreducible representation of $W(\vec{r}|_k)$ associated to $\vec{r}|_k$-tree T with companion tree C_T. Then the dimension d_ρ of ρ is given by*

$$d_\rho = \prod_v C(v). \tag{7}$$

4. Fast Fourier Transforms, Adapted Bases and Upper Bound Estimates

Any efficient algorithm for applying a discrete Fourier transform is a fast Fourier transform. Since Cooley-Tukey algorithm given in [CT65] fails for cyclic groups C_n when n is prime, we overcome this obstacle through strategies in [Rad68] and [RSR69]. Since the fastest algorithm to date in literature is in [Roc90], we state its main result:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $K \subseteq G$ be a normal subgroup such that G/K is abelian. Let $\{\eta_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a complete set of representatives for the orbits of the irreducible representations of K under the action of G. Let H_{η_i} be a subgroup of G containing K such that η_i extends to H_{η_i} but no further. Then the number of operations needed to compute all Fourier transforms for any complex-valued function f defined on G is at most*

$$\frac{|G|}{|K|}\cdot T(K)+\sum_{i\in I} m_{\eta_i}\left(\frac{|G|}{|H_{\eta_i}|}\right)^2 d_{\eta_i}^{\alpha}+|\Delta(\eta_i)|\left(\frac{|G|}{|K|}\cdot d_{\eta_i}^{\alpha}+d_{\eta_i}^2 O\left(\frac{|G|}{|K|}\log\frac{|H_{\eta_i}|}{|K|}\right)\right),$$

where m_{η_i} is the number of irreducible representations of G induced by extensions of η_i, α is the exponent of matrix multiplication, and O is the universal FFT constant.

4.1. **Acknowledgment.** The authors would like to acknowledge Mathematics Research Communities for providing the authors with an exceptional working environment at Snowbird, Utah and they would like to thank Michael Orrison for helpful discussions. This paper was written during the first author's visit to the University of Chicago in 2014. She thanks their hospitality.

References

[CT65] James W. Cooley and John W. Tukey, *An algorithm for the machine calculation of complex Fourier series*, Math. Comp. **19** (1965), 297–301.

[OOR04] R. C. Orellana, M. E. Orrison, and D. N. Rockmore, *Rooted trees and iterated wreath products of cyclic groups*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **33** (2004), no. 3, 531–547.

[Rad68] C. M. Rader, *Discrete Fourier transforms when the number of data samples is prime*, Proceedings of the IEEE **56** (1968), no. 6, 1107–1108.

[Roc90] Daniel Rockmore, *Fast Fourier analysis for abelian group extensions*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **11** (1990), no. 2, 164–204.

[RSR69] Lawrence R. Rabiner, Ronald W. Schafer, and Charles M. Rader, *The chirp z-transform algorithm and its application*, Bell System Tech. J. **48** (1969), 1249–1292.

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801 USA
E-mail address: mim2@illinois.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637 USA
E-mail address: wu@math.uchicago.edu